



Richland County Council  
Special Called Meeting  
**MINUTES**  
December 13, 2022 – 6:00 PM  
Council Chambers  
2020 Hampton Street, Columbia, SC 29204

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Overture Walker, Chair; Jesica Mackey, Vice-Chair, Bill Malinowski, Derrek Pugh, Yvonne McBride, Paul Livingston, Allison Terracio, Joe Walker, Gretchen Barron, Cheryl English, and Chakisse Newton

OTHERS PRESENT: Anette Kirylo, Leonardo Brown, Patrick Wright, Lori Thomas, Aric Jensen, Stacey Hamm, Jennifer Wladischkin, Angela Weathersby, Justin Landy, Tamar Black, Jeff Ruble, Dale Welch, Abhijit Deshpande, Michelle Onley, Chelsea Bennett, Ashiya Myers, Quinton Epps, Kyle Holsclaw, Sarah Harris, Casey White, Judy Carter, Sandra Haynes, John Thompson, Wayne Richardson, and Paul Brawley

1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Chairman Overture Walker called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 PM.
2. **INVOCATION** – The Invocation was led by the Honorable Derrek Pugh.
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – The Pledge of Allegiance was led by the Honorable Derrek Pugh.
4. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA** – Mr. Pugh moved to amend the agenda to add a resolution honoring the late Vince Ford to the agenda, seconded by Ms. English.

Mr. Malinowski moved to add a hospitality allocation request for District 1 to the agenda, seconded by Ms. Barron.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor of adopting the agenda as amended was unanimous.

5. **PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATION/RESOLUTION**

- a. **Proclamation Recognizing Wayne L. Richardson's Retirement [PUGH]** – Ms. Tamar Black, Assistant to the Clerk of Council, read the proclamation into the record on behalf of Councilman Pugh.
- b. **A Resolution Honoring the life of Community Leader Vince Ford** – Ms. Black read the resolution into the record on behalf of Council.

Ms. Newton moved to adopt a resolution honoring the life of Vince Ford, seconded by Ms. Barron.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

6. **REPORT OF ATTORNEY FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEMS**

- a. Proposed Changes to Council Rules – Mr. Patrick Wright, County Attorney, noted this item was eligible for Executive Session.

7. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. For Items on the Agenda Not Requiring a Public Hearing
1. Ms. Dianna Deaderick, 110 S. Ravenal Street, Columbia, SC 29205 (ARPA Funding)
  2. Ms. Luvee Bluefort, Cherokee Street (ARPA Funding)
  3. Carey Grady, 114 Camberely Court, Columbia, SC 29223 (ARPA Funding)

8. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. Must Pertain to Richland County Matters Not on the Agenda (Items for which a public hearing is required or a public hearing has been scheduled cannot be addressed at this time.) – No one signed up to speak.

9. **REPORT OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

- a. Updates – Mr. Leonardo Brown, County Administrator, noted he attended the December 8<sup>th</sup> Legislative Delegation meeting. Representative Leon Howard and Representative Beth Bernstein were elected Chair and Vice Chair of the Legislative Delegation, respectively. The Delegation has access to specific funding. He will be working with Dr. Kim Janha on how to access the funding for the County.

Mr. Brown stated the County has received two submissions to the State Lobbyist solicitation.

Mr. Brown noted at the previous Council meeting there was a discussion regarding the Public Safety Assessment. A briefing addendum was provided to Councilmembers to address many of the questions raised during the discussion.

Ms. Newton stated, for clarification, utilization of the funding from vacancies will provide sufficient funding to offer the increases for FY23. In addition, under State law, once we allocate the funds for salaries, we must continue to provide those funds for salaries.

Mr. Brown responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Wright replied we have to maintain the positions, but not the exact salary.

Ms. Newton moved to authorize the Administrator to work with the Public Safety departments, mentioned in the Public Safety Assessment, and utilize funding from vacancies to meet the needs relative to those specific positions, seconded by Mr. Pugh.

Mr. Livingston inquired if Ms. Newton's motion is Option (a), (b), or (c).

Ms. Newton responded she was not referring to a particular option.

Mr. Livingston inquired as to what we are doing with the vacancy dollars.

Mr. Brown replied the vacancy dollars will address the raises the organizations requested for their staff members for FY23.

Mr. Malinowski noted Greenville County, which has a larger population, has fewer attorneys in their Public Defender and Solicitor's Office. He noted he knows the number of

attorneys are predicated on caseloads; therefore, he would like to know why there are so many cases being handled by the Richland County offices.

Ms. Barron inquired if utilizing the vacancy funding, will the departments still be able to fill vacancies.

Mr. Brown responded he believes the funding will be sufficient to fund the raises and fill the vacancies.

Ms. McBride inquired if Ms. Newton's motion is the Administrator's recommendation.

Mr. Brown responded the motion acknowledges the current budget process. There was also a discussion about potential future action.

Ms. Barron requested Council and Administration work on a permanent fix to address these needs. She suggested a work session during the budget process.

In Favor: Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. J. Walker moved to reconsider this item, seconded by Ms. Barron.

In Favor: Malinowski

Opposed: Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

#### 10. **REPORT OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL**

- a. Strategic Planning Forum Update – Ms. Anette Kirylo, Clerk to Council, reminded Council members of the upcoming Strategic Planning Forum on January 25-27, 2023. She will be emailing additional details to Council in the coming days.

#### 11. **REPORT OF THE CHAIR** – Mr. O. Walker congratulated Ms. Newton on being awarded the Keep the Midlands Beautiful 2022 "Green Elected Official Award".

Keep the Midlands Beautiful Executive Director, Ebonee Gadson presented the award to Councilwoman Newton.

Chair O. Walker and Vice-Chair Mackey were joined by the full Council to honor outgoing Councilmembers Malinowski and J. Walker for their service to the County.

#### 12. **OPEN/CLOSE PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- a. Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc. and Husqvarna Professional Products, Inc. to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; authorizing certain infrastructure credits; and other related matters – No one signed up to speak.
- b. Authorizing the expansion of the boundaries of the I-77 Corridor Regional Industrial Park jointly developed with Fairfield County to include certain property located in Richland

County; the execution and delivery of a public infrastructure credit agreement to provide for public infrastructure credits to GSM of North Main LLC, and Peak Drift Beverages, LLC; and other related matters – No one signed up to speak.

- c. Authorizing the expansion of the boundaries of the I-77 Corridor Regional Industrial Park jointly developed with Fairfield County to include certain property located in Richland County; the execution and delivery of a public infrastructure credit agreement to provide for public infrastructure credits to Crosspointe at Killian, LLC, a company previously identified as Project Green Arrow; and other related matters – No one signed up to speak.
- d. Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Project Golden Eagle to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; authorizing certain infrastructure credits; and other related matters – No one signed up to speak.

Ms. Terracio noted this item is still listed under its project name; therefore, the public will not have an opportunity to know about the company and its location.

Mr. Ruble responded the company is not only relying on incentives from the County, but also the State. Since the County does not have any public hearings in January, they opted to hold the public hearing in December. The State will be taking the matter up in January; therefore, the company and the State have requested not to disclose the company's name until the State takes action. The County can maintain confidentiality until such time as the Third Reading documents have been executed. He noted the identity of the company does not add context to the public discourse.

Mr. Wright noted the company is not disclosed in the agenda documentation, but the details of the project are included.

### 13. **APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEMS**

- a. 22-022MA, Jenny Reyes, RU to NC (8.63 Acres), 9200 Wilson Blvd., TMS # R14600-03-41 [THIRD READING]
- b. An Ordinance amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances; Chapter 16, Licenses and Miscellaneous Business Regulations; by the addition of Article VII, Residential Rental Property Registration and Regulations [SECOND READING]

Mr. Malinowski moved to approve the Consent Items, seconded by Ms. Barron.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

### 14. **THIRD READING ITEMS**

- a. Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc. and Husqvarna Professional Products, Inc. to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; authorizing certain infrastructure credits; and other related matters – Mr. Livingston moved to approve this item, seconded by Mr. J. Walker.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- b. Authorizing the expansion of the boundaries of the I-77 Corridor Regional Industrial Park jointly developed with Fairfield County to include certain property located in Richland County; the execution and delivery of a public infrastructure credit agreement to provide for public infrastructure credits to GSM of North Main LLC, and Peak Drift Beverages, LLC; and other related matters – Ms. English moved to approve this item, seconded by Ms. Mackey.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- c. Authorizing the expansion of the boundaries of the I-77 Corridor Regional Industrial Park jointly developed with Fairfield County to include certain property located in Richland County; the execution and delivery of a public infrastructure credit agreement to provide for public infrastructure credits to Crosspointe at Killian, LLC, a company previously identified as Project Green Arrow; and other related matters. - Ms. English moved to approve this item, seconded by Mr. J. Walker.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, and English

Opposed: Newton.

The vote was in favor.

- d. Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina, and Project Golden Eagle to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; authorizing certain infrastructure credits; and other related matters – Mr. Livingston moved to approve this item, seconded by Ms. Mackey.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Livingston moved to reconsider Items 14(a), (b), and (c), seconded by Mr. J. Walker.

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The motion for reconsideration failed.

## 15. **SECOND READING ITEMS**

- a. An Ordinance authorizing the option and acquisition of certain property located in Richland County; and other matters related hereto – Mr. Livingston moved to approve this item, seconded by Ms. Mackey.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if we received an appraisal on the property.

Mr. Ruble replied we arrived at the option price through a comparison of other properties purchased and sold in the area. He noted the State offers grant funding to perform the due diligence on the property. The County is not committing to purchase the property, but to put the property under a purchasing option for five years.

Mr. Malinowski stated, for the record, the County needs to describe how the mailing is handled to ensure we are not burned by someone saying they did not get it.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- b. Authorizing the first amendment of that certain fee agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Eastover Solar, LLC, relating to, without limitation, the further investment of the project, the increase of the phase termination date, and an update to the fee payment schedule and amount and other related matters – Ms. English moved to approve this item, seconded by Mr. J. Walker.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

16. **REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

- a. Authorizing the formation of a public-private partnership for economic development; approving a concept document setting forth the goals of such partnership, and other related matters – Mr. Livingston noted the request is to approve the concept of a public-private partnership, not to develop a partnership.

Mr. Livingston stated the committee recommended approval of this item.

Ms. McBride inquired if there are any legally binding rules since this is only a concept.

Mr. Wright replied the resolution is a document stating Council's intent to potentially create a public-private partnership. The details would come back to Council to be formally adopted.

Ms. McBride inquired if the resolution is necessary or if we could develop a concept and bring it back to Council.

Mr. Wright responded, in order to start the process, there needs to be a declaration of intent by the Council.

Ms. McBride inquired if she votes in favor of this item does that mean she is committing to the process.

Mr. Wright responded it is a commitment to start the process. Council can always decide not to complete the public-private partnership. Any documents or agreements would have to come before Council for approval.

Ms. Newton stated, for the record, she supports the idea of a public-private partnership.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to whom the County will partner with.

Mr. Wright replied the public-private partnership will create a corporation whereby the board members are selected by Council to work on economic development endeavors.

Mr. Malinowski noted the resolution states, "County Council in connection with the approval of the...Fiscal Year 2023-24 budget at which time the...Council will consider the proposed funding, budget and operating procedures of the Partnership" but we do have not a dollar amount.

Mr. Wright replied that will come about when there is more concrete information.

Mr. Malinowski stated, the resolution further states, "The Richland County Council will retain majority control of the Board, with three members of Richland County Council serving on the Economic Development Corporation Board (the County Council Chairman, the County Council Vice-Chairman, and the Economic Development Committee Chair). An additional two Board members will be directly appointed by Richland County Council, for a majority of five out of nine members." He noted he does not agree with the language, as written. In his opinion, a majority would be five Councilmembers. In addition, it states, "The President/CEO of the public/private partnership will be the current County Economic Development Director and shall serve at the pleasure of the Board." This seems to take the matter out of Council's control. Lastly, it states, "The public/private partnership will be funded through the County at current levels and through private sector contributions. The staff of the newly created partnership will remain employees of the county for retirement and benefits purposes." He inquired who the staff members will be (i.e. board members).

Mr. Wright responded, in reference to the three Councilmembers, you cannot have a majority of Council on the board because it is a separate organization, but Council has the ability to appoint individuals to represent the County's interest. One of the benefits of the public-private partnership is there are certain things a governmental entity cannot do that a private organization can do. The purpose of the public-private partnership is for the benefit of the County.

Mr. Ray Jones, Attorney representing Parker Poe, stated this discussion has been going on for the last five years. The County recognizes there are other communities in South Carolina that have been successful in Economic Development by leveraging private input and dollars, for the benefit of the citizens of the County.

Ms. Barron inquired when will the details of the public-private partnership be fleshed out.

Mr. Wright responded the first step is for Council to authorize the concept of the process.

Mr. Livingston stated the idea is for Council to direct the Administrator and Economic Development to undertake the next phase of the partnership to fulfill the concept document and present it to Council in connection with the FY24 budget. At that time, Council will consider the proposed funding and operating procedures for the partnership. He noted the biggest problem for the County is the private sector disconnect.

Mr. Jones stated there are two critical path items the County needs to begin to undertake. First, would be the by-laws of the corporation with input from the County and approval from Council. Secondly, a real budget with an understanding of what the funds will be spent on, an appreciation of how private sector dollars will be leveraged, and the ability to see how the investments benefit the County on an ongoing basis.

Mr. Malinowski suggested including in the resolution the County's ability to back out of the partnership by simply not funding it during the budget process.

Ms. Mackey stated, on the record, she is fully in support of the resolution, the public-private partnership, and what it means for Economic Development, the residents, and the growth of Richland County. She noted Richland County and Mr. Ruble have done a great job with Economic Development. At last year's retreat, we heard from Greenville County on its economic endeavors. In addition, Council came up with goals, ideas, and initiatives we supported to move the County forward. One of the ideas was the public-private partnership. Furthermore, the Economic Development Office has had a separate strategic plan, which was developed by a consultant that lays out goals. The Economic Development Office's strategic plan also included a public-private partnership. The concept has been discussed in Economic Development Committee meetings and each Councilmember has been contacted by Mr. Jones' team to discuss the concept. She encouraged her colleagues to support moving forward with the item before them.

Ms. McBride stated in order to make an intelligent, informed decision you need to have the information provided. She noted just because someone has a public-private partnership you cannot say that is the reason they are successful. She indicated she would like to see data on what other states and counties are doing with public-private partnerships. She inquired, what authority does Council have? Is it legal for her to give up her authority for County taxes to be levied and give it to the public-private partnership? Will there still be an Economic Development Committee or will the partnership take its place?

Mr. Pugh stated he believes this is a great idea to help the County move forward. He noted he would like to be a part of the fleshing-out process before a concrete decision is made to move forward.

In Favor: Pugh, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, Mackey, English, and O. Walker

Opposed: Malinowski, McBride, and Newton.

The vote was in favor.

- b. An Ordinance authorizing the transfer of certain property owned by Richland County and located in the Pineview Industrial Park; and other matters related thereto [FIRST READING BY TITLE ONLY] – Mr. Livingston stated the committee recommended approval of this item.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the County is selling or purchasing the property.

Mr. Ruble responded the County is selling the property to a potential company.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

## 17. **REPORT OF THE RULES AND APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE**

### a. NOTIFICATION OF APPOINTMENTS

1. Accommodations Tax Committee – Seven (7) Vacancies (TWO applicants must have a background in the lodging industry, THREE applicants must have a background in the hospitality industry, ONE applicant must have a cultural background, and ONE applicant will fill an at-large seat) – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended appointing Mr. David Bergmann.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

2. Board of Zoning Appeals – Four (4) Vacancies – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended re-advertising for the vacancies. No action was taken.
3. East Richland Public Service District Commission – One (1) Vacancy – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended re-appointing, Mr. Thaddeus Timmons.

Ms. McBride made a substitute motion to appoint Ms. Catherine Fleming Bruce, seconded by Ms. Terracio.

In Favor: McBride, Terracio, O. Walker, Mackey, and English.



Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, Livingston, J. Walker, Barron, and Newton.

The substitute motion failed.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

Opposed: McBride.

The vote was in favor.

4. Lexington Richland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council (LRADAC) – One (1) Vacancy – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended re-appointing Mr. L. L. (Buddy) Wilson.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

5. Richland Memorial Hospital Board – Six (6) Vacancies – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended re-appointing Ms. Mary Mazzola Spivey and Mr. Ronald Scott and appointing Ms. Virginia Crocker, Mr. Patrick Palmer, Mr. Justin Shinta, and Mr. James Manning.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Malinowski moved to reconsider Items 17(a)(1, 3, 4, and 5), seconded by Ms. Barron.

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

b. NOTIFICATION OF VACANCIES

1. Accommodations Tax Committee – Five (5) Vacancies (THREE applicants must have a background in the hospitality industry, ONE applicant must have a cultural background, and ONE applicant will fill an at-large seat)
2. Airport Commission – One (1) Vacancy (Applicant must reside in the Rosewood, Shandon, or Hollywood-Rose Wales Garden neighborhoods)
3. Board of Zoning Appeals – Four (4) Vacancies
4. Building Codes Board of Appeals – Nine (9) Vacancies (ONE applicant must be from the Architecture Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Gas Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Building Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Contracting Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Plumbing Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Electrical Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Engineering Industry, and TWO applicants must be from the Fire Industry as alternates)
5. Business Service Center Appeals Board – Three (3) Vacancies (ONE applicant must be from the Business Industry and TWO applicants must be CPAs)

6. Community Relations Council – Three (3) Vacancies
7. Employee Grievance Committee – Two (2) Vacancies (Must be a Richland County Government employee)
8. Hospitality Tax Committee – One (1) Vacancy (Applicant must be from the Restaurant Industry)
9. Planning Commission – One (1) Vacancy
10. Riverbanks Park Commission – One (1) Vacancy
11. Township Auditorium Board – One (1) Vacancy
12. Transportation Penny Advisory Committee (TPAC) – Three (3) Vacancies

Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended advertising the listed vacancies.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Terracio inquired if someone applied and interviewed in the past year, will they be considered in this next round of interviews?

Ms. Barron responded application stays on file for one year.

18. **REPORT OF THE TRANSPORTATION AD HOC COMMITTEE**

- a. SCDOT I-26 Widening Mitigation Bank Credit Transaction – Ms. Mackey stated the committee recommended approving SCDOT's request to purchase 22.80 wetland and 16,500 stream credits at a rate of \$12,500 and \$175 per credit, respectively, for the SCDOT's I-26 Widening Project (mm 125-137) in Calhoun and Lexington Counties.

Mr. Malinowski noted the remaining projects in the Penny Program will require 3,400 stream credits and would increase if the Penny Tax is extended. He inquired if it would be wise to go down to the bare bone minimum.

Mr. Aric Jensen, Assistant County Administrator, responded if a Penny Tax Phase II comes about a Mitigation Bank Phase II will come about.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, and English.

Opposed: Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Mackey moved to reconsider this item, seconded by Ms. Newton.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The motion for reconsideration failed.

19. **REPORT OF THE CORONAVIRUS AD HOC COMMITTEE**

- a. Seeking approval for two Public Service Projects funded by CDBG-CV funds – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended moving forward with funding of two public service

projects totaling \$150,000 to be funded utilizing Community Development Block Grant COVID-19 (CDBG-CV) Federal funds.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Mackey moved to reconsider this item, seconded by Ms. Newton.

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

- b. American Rescue Plan Act Grant Process Update – Ms. Barron stated the County has been working with the third-party vendor Guidehouse to evaluate the applications. Staff is recommending Guidehouse re-evaluating the applications with a risk assessment score of 15 or higher, based upon the County’s scoring rubric.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if Guidehouse’s fees are coming out of the ARPA funds.

Mr. Brown responded in the affirmative.

20. **REPORT OF THE COMMUNITY IMPACT GRANTS AD HOC COMMITTEE**

- a. Recommendations for Community Impact Grants – Ms. English stated the committee recommended approving the grant recommendations in the amount of \$259,445.75. The funds were budgeted for during the FY23 budget process, and need to be spent by the conclusion of FY23 or go back into the General Fund.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to where the funds are coming from.

Ms. English responded they are General Fund set aside funds.

In Favor: Pugh, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski, McBride, and J. Walker

The vote was in favor.

Ms. English moved to reconsider this item, seconded by Ms. Mackey.

In Favor: Malinowski, McBride, and J. Walker

Opposed: Pugh, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

21. **OTHER ITEMS**

- a. FY23 – District 4 Hospitality Tax Allocations – Mr. Livingston moved to approve this item, seconded by Ms. McBride.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

Opposed: J. Walker

The vote was in favor.

Mr. Livingston moved for reconsideration of this item, seconded by Ms. Mackey.

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

- b. FY23 – District 1 Hospitality Tax Allocations – Mr. Malinowski moved to approve this item, seconded by Mr. Pugh.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, Mackey, English, and Newton

Opposed: J. Walker and O. Walker

The vote was in favor.

Mr. Malinowski moved to reconsider this item, seconded by Mr. Pugh.

In Favor: J. Walker and O. Walker

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The motion for reconsideration failed.

## 22. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

- a. Comprehensive Review of Council Rules – Ms. Barron moved to go into Executive Session, seconded by Ms. Newton.

Mr. Malinowski moved to accept the proposed changes, seconded by Ms. Barron.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton

The vote in favor of the substitute motion was unanimous.

23. **MOTION PERIOD** – No motions were submitted.

24. **ADJOURNMENT** – Mr. J. Walker moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Ms. McBride.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English, and Newton.

The vote in favor was unanimous.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:17 PM.